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**Abstract**

In this article, a laminar magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) developing flow of an incompressible electrically conducting fluid subjected to an external magnetic field is considered. The aim of the study is to propose a correlation for computing the development length of the laminar MHD developing flow in a pipe. A numerical approach is considered to solve the problem. In the first step, the numerical Finite Volume Method (FVM) is conducted to analyze the problem. Hereafter, the artificial neural network (ANN) is used to develop the datasets and in the last step, the curve fitting is applied to find a correlation for prediction of the development length as a function of the Reynolds and Hartmann numbers. In addition, the effect of the problem parameters on the development length are studied. It is found that the development length declines with the increase of the Hartmann number and grows with the rising of the Reynolds number.
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**Nomenclature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Total magnetic field (T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B₀</td>
<td>External magnetic field (T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Induced magnetic field (T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Electric field (V/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_L</td>
<td>Lorentz force (N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_a</td>
<td>Hartmann number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Density of electric current (A/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ℓ</td>
<td>Characteristic length (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_em</td>
<td>MHD development length (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ</td>
<td>Electrical conductivity (Ω.m⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Gauge pressure (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>Radius of the pipe (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td>Reynolds number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_av</td>
<td>Mean velocity (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uᵣ</td>
<td>r-component of the velocity (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u_z</td>
<td>z-component of the velocity (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(uc)cm</td>
<td>MHD centerline velocity (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(uc)cmf</td>
<td>MHD fully developed centerline velocity (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Velocity field (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>Coordinate in the direction of flow (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>Density (kg/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ</td>
<td>Dynamic viscosity (Pa.s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∇</td>
<td>Del operator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**1. Introduction**

Precise prediction of the development length for MHD pipe flow is a significant subject in several fields. Examples in engineering applications including design and analysis of pipe flow systems, MHD wind tunnels and flow...
instrumentations like MHD flowmeters and MHD viscometers, industrial applications involving metallurgical processing, MHD pumps, piping systems in reactors and the physiological applications like the MHD blood flow simulation in vascular networks [1-6].

Although much research has been done to find a correlation for predicting of the hydrodynamic development length in the pipes [7-14], but there is little research on computing the MHD development length. According to the available literature, some experimental [15, 16], analytical [17-19] and numerically investigations [20-22] have been carried out on the MHD developing flow in circular and non-circular channels. In these studies, a two- or three-dimensional MHD pipe flow subjected to a uniform or non-uniform external magnetic field was studied and the velocity profile, the pressure loss and other flow characteristics were investigated.

He and Ku [23] investigated the unsteady entrance flow in pipes numerically and evaluated the development length variations of a pulsatile flow due to its importance in some blood flow situations. Li et al. [24] studied the MHD two-phase (liquid metal-gas) flow in an annular channel numerically and reported at the same conditions of the liquid phase flow, the pressure loss has the similar order of magnitude to that in the single-phase MHD pipe flow. Durst et al. [25] reviewed the correlations proposed for computing the development length for non-MHD pipe flows, that were available in the literature until then. They studied a laminar developing pipe flow using numerical finite volume method and proposed a correlation for estimating the entrance length of the laminar pipe flows without MHD effects. In the same way, Pool and Ridley [14] presented the summary of the entry length correlations for non-MHD pipe flows of the non-Newtonian power-law fluids, and offered a new formula for calculating the development length as a function of the power-law index and the modified Reynolds number.

Malekzadeh et al. [26] carried out an experimental and numerical study on the magnetic field effect for a laminar fully developed pipe flows characteristics. They examined the flow field for four Reynolds numbers (1000, 1500 and 2000) and seven Hartmann numbers (0, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5 and 15) and offered an approximate numerical expression for computing the entrance length of MHD pipe flow. Sahu et al. [11] presented a numerical based model named ANFIS (adaptive network fuzzy inference system) to predict the development length of the low Reynolds number pipe flow (Re<500) without MHD effects. The results were found in good agreement with the earlier works. Ray et al. [12] explored the laminar fully developed pulsating pipe flows numerically and proposed a correlation to predict the maximum entrance length of non-MHD pipe flows during a cycle, for the moderate and high Reynolds number regimes.

Li and Zikanov [27] investigated the laminar MHD pipe flows numerically and discussed the structure of the flow with an M-shaped velocity profile. Moreover, they established the criteria, which could be used to study the laminar MHD pipe flows under the strong non-uniform magnetic fields. Li et al. [28] studied the pressure drop of a three-dimensional liquid metal pipe flow under the effect of a non-uniform magnetic field. They reported the experimental results of the pressure distribution patterns and concluded that the 3D numerical simulations led to the appropriate results, which were in good agreement with the empirical data.

Ray et al. [9] investigated the velocity profile development and the pressure drops of the micro-pipe entrance flow with second-order slip boundary conditions and offered a correlation to compute the entrance length of the non-MHD micro-pipe flows. Patel [10] studied the effect of an external uniform magnetic field on the velocity profile of a steady state MHD pipe flow using numerical finite difference scheme. They showed the flattening of the axial velocity profile due to the presence of the magnetic field graphically and discussed about the increase of the friction factor. Bühler and Mistrangelo [29] explored the pressure drop and the velocity profile variations in MHD pipe flow as a result of a local gap of the walls insulation, numerically. They concluded that although the existence of the interruptions in pipes insulation might result in the lower pressure losses, it could be caused the undesirable effects on the velocity profile and thus the heat and mass transfer phenomena. Okita et al. [2] carried out
the experimental investigation to study the liquid metal MHD flow and measure the mean velocity of the flow by an Electro-Magnetic Flowmeter (EMF) and presented the velocity profiles for the two special cases of the magnetic flux density. The literature survey reveals that although the subjects of the MHD channel and pipe flows were widely investigated [30-35] and the correlation for computing the development length of the non-MHD pipe flows were reported for several cases and different applications, but a reliable correlation for computing the development length of the MHD pipe flows is not available. Hence, the motivation of the present study is proposing a correlation for computing the development length of the laminar two-dimensional MHD pipe at the entrance region. The numerical finite volume method is applied to solve the problem. Then, using ANN the data is developed and finally, the curve fitting is treated to find the correlation. In addition, the effects of the different parameters on the MHD development length, the MHD fully developed centerline velocity and the Lorentz force are evaluated.

2. Problem statement

The schematic configuration of the problem is shown in Fig. 1. A steady laminar liquid metal flow through a pipe with the no-slip boundary condition on the walls is considered. A liquid metal flow with a uniform velocity distribution enters the pipe. After passing the specified length of the pipe (z0), that is called as the hydrodynamic entrance length, the development of the flow is completed and the velocity profile gets a parabolic form (i.e. the hydrodynamically fully developed velocity profile of the pipe flows).

From that section, a uniform transverse magnetic field (B0) is imposed on the pipe flow, perpendicularly, so that the parabolic velocity profile converts into a new form gradually, which is the MHD fully developed velocity profile of the MHD pipe flows. The development length is defined as the distance from inlet, which the magnitude of the centerline velocity reaches to 99 percent of the fully developed velocity magnitude. The considered MHD pipe has a length of 0.7m and a radius of 0.005m.

3. Mathematical model

The governing equations of the described problem including continuity, momentum and Ohm’s law are introduced as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} &= 0 \\
\rho (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V} &= -\nabla P + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{V} + \sigma (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) \\
\mathbf{J} &= \sigma (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B})
\end{align*}
\]

Whereas V, P, J, E and B are the velocity field, the gauge pressure, the electric current density, the electric field and the total magnetic field (i.e. the sum of the external magnetic field (B0) and the induced magnetic field (b)), respectively.

The fluid properties are assumed constant and the effect of the body forces is negligible except for the Lorentz body force, which is given as follows [36]:

\[
\mathbf{F}_L = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} = \sigma (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}
\]

In the absence of the electric field and while the magnetic Reynolds number is small enough, the induced magnetic field can be ignored and the Lorentz force is simplified as:

\[
\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} = -\sigma B_0^2 u_z\hat{z}
\]

According to the described assumptions, Eqs. (1) can be rewritten as:

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r \rho u_r) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho u_z) = 0
\]

\[
\rho \left( u_r \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} + u_z \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} \right) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} + \mu \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial z^2} \right] - \sigma B_0^2 u_z
\]
The numerical procedure is performed for the Reynolds number ranging from 700 to 1180 while the Hartman number varied from 7 to 14. For each range, the development length value of the pipe is calculated. Therefore, 72 dataset is reached. In the second step, the ANN is conducted. The main goal of using ANN is to accelerate the procedure of numerical solving especially for the complex problems that have a long time CPU time. In order to use of ANN, 72 datasets obtained in the first step are used to train in a feed-forward back-propagation network. In this network, the Reynolds and Hartmann number are considered as an input data and the development length is considered as a target data. Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) algorithm is used to determine the appropriate multi-layer functions for describing the relationship between inputs and target data. The appropriate network can be reach when the mean square error between the output data and the target is minimized. As the error becomes constant, the train process is stopped (Fig. 3). Finally, the trained network with determined accuracy will be reached.

Table 1. Results of mesh independence study for \((u_c)_{\text{cmf}} (Ha = 10, Re = 810)\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mesh size (mm)</th>
<th>((u_c)_{\text{cmf}} ) (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.0436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2.** Results of mesh independence study for \((u_c)_{\text{cmf}} (Ha = 10, Re = 810)\).
Fig. 3. Neural network training performance.

In the Table 2 the characteristic of the trained network, the neuron and layer numbers are reported. Therefore, using trained ANN, 308 datasets are obtained for the Re range from 500 to 2000 while \( \text{Ha} \) varied from 4 to 20. Now in the last step, all 308 datasets are used to fitting a curve and the correlation will be obtained.

5. The proposed correlations

Considering the mentioned steps, the proposed correlations for prediction of the development length as a function of the Reynolds and the Hartmann numbers are defined as following:

\[
L_{em} = 153.82 + \text{Ha} \left[ -0.6684 + 0.04374 \text{Re} \right] \\
+ \text{Ha} \left[ 0.019438 \text{Re} - 16.45 \right] \\
+ \text{Re} \left[ -8.036 \times 10^{-3} \text{Ha} + 5.816 \times 10^{-5} \text{Re} \right] \\
+ \text{Re} \left[ -2.92 \times 10^{-5} \text{Re} + 0.026 \right]
\] (8a)

for \( (750 \leq \text{Re} < 1100, \ 5 \leq \text{Ha} \leq 18) \) and \( (1100 \leq \text{Re} \leq 2000, \ 5 \leq \text{Ha} \leq 19) \):

\[
L_{em} = -18.944 + \text{Ha} \left[ -1.238 \times 10^{-4} \text{Re} + 4.618 \times 10^{-6} \text{Re} \right] \\
+ \text{Ha} \left[ 0.0252 \times 10^{-2} \text{Re} + 0.1840 \text{Ha} + 6.01 \right] \\
+ \text{Re} \left[ -2.372 \times 10^{-5} \text{Ha} + 3.42 \times 10^{-5} \text{Re} - 3.944 \times 10^{-4} \right] \\
+ \text{Re} \left[ -2.684 \times 10^{-5} \text{Re} + 0.3522 \right]
\] (8b)

Where \( L_{em} \) is the MHD development length.

6. Validation checking of the proposed correlations

In order to validate the proposed formulas (Eqs. 8), the available proven results in the literature were applied.

Table 3 shows the comparison between the results of the proposed correlations (8a and b) and the available literature data. As can be seen, the results obtained from our presented formulas are in good agreement with the validated data. Moreover, in Table 4 the values of the development length obtained from the three different methods are presented. It can be seen that there is reasonable agreement among the development length values of these three different methods and ANN can be used to model and predict the MHD flow and development length.

### Table 2. Characteristic of the trained network.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layers number</th>
<th>Training function</th>
<th>Neurons number</th>
<th>Ha range</th>
<th>Re range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st layer: Log sigmoid 1st layer: 2</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd layer: Pure line 2nd layer: 1</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Validation of the proposed correlations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Re</th>
<th>Ha</th>
<th>Ref result</th>
<th>Eq. (8a)</th>
<th>Eq. (8b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Durst et al. [25]</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohanty &amp; Asthana [41]</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malekzadeh et al. [26]</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. The comparison of the magnetic development length among three methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Re</th>
<th>Ha</th>
<th>FVM</th>
<th>ANN</th>
<th>Eq. (8a)</th>
<th>Eq. (8b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>880</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.3836</td>
<td>0.3847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1180</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>0.663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1240</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>0.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.6902</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1360</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.6982</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1480</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.6974</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>0.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1540</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.6883</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>0.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1660</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.6873</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Results and Discussion

The effect of Hartmann number on the entrance length is depicted in Fig. 3. As can be seen, by increasing of the $Ha$ the development length decreases. $Ha$ is the ratio of the Lorentz forces to the viscous forces. According to Eq. (3), the Lorentz force is a resistance force, which proportional to the velocity. Indeed, augmentation of the Lorentz force causes velocity reduction in the potential region above the boundary layer. Eventually, the development length decreases.

Figs 4 and 5 represent the development of the MHD centerline velocity ($u_{cm}$) in the flow direction, for the different values of the Hartmann and the Reynolds numbers, respectively. It is observed the MHD centerline velocity decreases as the $Ha$ increases.

However, as Re increases, the MHD centerline velocity increases. Moreover, as can be seen with the augmentation of the Hartmann number and the reduction of the Reynolds number, the MHD entry length gets shorter.

The velocity vectors ($u_z$) in the flow direction and for the different values of the Hartmann number are demonstrated in Figs 6. It can be seen that as the Hartmann number rises the velocity profile at the same cross-section of the pipe becomes flattened.

Similarly, Figs. 7 show the variations of the Lorentz force ($F_L$) vectors for the different values of the Hartmann number in the flow direction. As discussed later, the Lorentz force is proportional to the velocity field thus; the variation pattern of $F_L$ in the flow direction is similar to $u_z$ changes. However, $F_L$ is a resistance body force, which affects in the opposite direction of the flow and velocity vectors.
Moreover, the velocity profile has the maximum magnitude at the centerline of the pipe thus; the $F_L$ is also maximum at the centerline of the pipe. As well, near the walls, $F_L$ decreases because of the velocity magnitude reduces. It can be justified by the fact that as the Reynolds number declines, the boundary layers’ thickness grows and as a result, the MHD development length decreases. In the other hand, while the Hartmann number augments, the resistance Lorentz force ($F_L$) and the boundary layer growth rise, therefore, the magnetic development length becomes shorter.

The variations of the velocity profile versus $Ha$, along with the $r$-axis, for the specified value of the Reynolds number is illustrated in Fig. 8. As expected, when $Ha$ increases, the velocity profile gets flatten at the same axial position and the fully developed velocity profile arises sooner. It happens because, with augmentation of $Ha$, the Lorentz force, which is the resistance body force and applies in the opposite direction of the flow, increases.

The effect of the Hartmann number on the Lorentz force ($F_L$) at the MHD pipe centerline is presented in Fig. 9. The Hartmann number is proportional to the Lorentz force, with increasing of $Ha$, $F_L$ increases. In addition, the variation of the Lorentz force ($F_L$) over the entrance region of the MHD pipe flow can be observed in Fig. 9. It can be seen that, at the pipe centerline, $F_L$ decreases in the flow direction along the channel length at the entry region and when the flow is fully developed, $F_L$ becomes constant.

The variation of the MHD fully developed centerline velocity with respect to Re and $Ha$ is plotted in Fig. 10.

It can be observed when $Ha$ increases the magnitude of $(u_z)_{cmf}$ declined. In contrast, augmentation of Re increases the magnitude of $(u_z)_{cmf}$.
In Fig. 11, the changes of the pressure gradient along the pipe length with $Ha$ is plotted. It can be seen that as $Ha$ increases, the pressure losses grow. Owing to $Ha$ is corresponded with $F_I$, increasing of $Ha$ leads to an increase of the resistance Lorentz force, consequently, the total pressure losses increase.

5. Conclusion

The numerical approach base on finite volume method was applied to solve the steady laminar magnetohydrodynamics pipe flows in the entrance region. A two-dimensional liquid metal developing flow through a pipe subjected to an external magnetic field was studied for the different values of the Reynolds and Hartmann numbers. Afterward, the artificial neural network were trained to develop the output datasets obtained from the numerical solution. Eventually, by using the curve fitting on the developed datasets, the correlation for prediction of development length was proposed for the different range of $Re$ and $Ha$. In additions, the effect of $Re$ and $Ha$ on the MHD development length, MHD fully developed centerline velocity, pressure losses and the Lorentz force were discussed. It was concluded that with increasing of the Reynolds number, the MHD development length and the MHD fully developed centerline velocity augment; in contrast, the MHD development length and the MHD fully developed centerline velocity reduce when the Hartmann number increases. Furthermore, the results had shown that with the increase of the Hartmann number the pressure losses rise up.

References


[29] L. Bühler and C. Mistrangelo, "Pressure drop and velocity changes in MHD pipe flows due to a local interruption of the insulation," Fusion


